1	same thing.
2	MS. GOSSE: They will have to go
3	through
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We can ask.
5	MR. SCHONMAN: The request doesn't
6	always mean get.
7	MS. GOSSE: But justification
8	would be that we need them for the hearing.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
10	Okay.
11	Well, you see what we're up
12	against then, Mr. Marshall. That
13	MR. MARSHALL: I do, Your Honor.
14	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: But this is
15	sort of working in your favor, because this
16	will then just give you some more time.
17	But it doesn't convince me that
18	you should be getting any more time beyond 9
19	October to do what I'm requiring you to do.
20	Now, okay. So that's going to be
21	noted. And I'm going to write this all in an
22	order so that it's clear. But you know what

you're going to do on 9 October.

Now the next question then is, I can get to some of the outstanding items on the motions to compel, which there are only a few items that I'm concerned about. Do we want to do that first, or do you want to do other witnesses? Why don't we talk about other witnesses?

MR. SCHONMAN: Very well.

ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have any idea, Mr. Marshall, and you don't have to disclose who they are, but do you have any idea of other witnesses that you might want to testify?

MR. MARSHALL: I do, Your Honor. And my understanding, from speaking to Mr. Schonman and Mr. Knowles-Kellett last week, is that they would not likely require any witnesses who provided character testimony to come to Washington for cross examination. So I don't see any particular problem in that area.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor
2	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
3	MR. SCHONMAN: When I spoke about
4	testimonial evidence with Mr. Marshall last
5	week, we indicated that in past cases where
6	there had been testimonial provided that there
7	were instances where we did not call those
8	individuals for cross and that their brief
9	statements about the character of the licensee
10	was allowed to come in unchallenged. That may
11	be the case, and I want to stress it may be
12	the case.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you
14	have to see the statements first?
15	MR. SCHONMAN: Of course. Of
16	course.
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, I
18	have many cases where that procedure has been
19	followed in the past. And I will certainly
20	use as much of my influence to see that it
21	gets done that way, to have it done that way.
22	But on the other hand, obviously I

can't make any definitive ruling on that until we see what the statements say. And maybe the answer would be to just -- that might be a situation where maybe the depositions would be taken, and we could take the depositions as testimony if their statements are too wishywashy. I don't know. I'm not going try and predict what might be the outcome.

In any event --

MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, we're trying to accommodate Mr. Marshall. And we understand that there may be financial circumstances.

And therefore, with respect to testimonial -- I'm sorry, character references -- character reference statements that we might be able to accommodate Mr. Marshall on that.

We would strongly take a different stance with respect to an expert witness who would provide very important testimony in this case --

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
2	MR. SCHONMAN: if he decides to
3	bring one.
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. So
5	that's the tentative position of the Bureau on
6	the character witnesses.
7	What about other types of
8	witnesses? Are these the kind of witnesses
9	that you're contemplating at this point, Mr.
10	Marshall?
11	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, Your Honor.
12	Of course, I don't have the police reports and
13	many other documentation that's to be
14	provided. But so far, that's all I'm
15	contemplating.
16	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
17	How many of those off the top of your head
18	would you say you might be thinking about?
19	MR. MARSHALL: I think it would be
20	fewer than a half a dozen.
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Under
22	12. Okay. That's the take of that, I guess.

1	Were you planning to depose
2	anything on the Bureau's side?
3	MR. MARSHALL: No, Your Honor.
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
5	And you do have a list. I know
6	that there was a list of six or seven people
7	that they've interviewed. You've got those
8	with their addresses and everything?
9	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, that's
10	correct.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
12	Nothing from that end of it should be a
13	distraction or a delay for you and Mr. Titus.
14	MR. MARSHALL: That's right. In
15	terms of taking depositions, Your Honor is
16	that what you were asking about?
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well,
18	whatever it is. I mean, you might want to
19	just go out and talk to these people, whether
20	you want to depose them, or whatever it is
21	that you intend to do.
22	MR. MARSHALL: We'll either rely

1	upon informal interviews or not even do that.
2	I'm not planning to do any depositions.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
4	Well, that's the magic word.
5	All right. Do you want me to go
6	through this discovery to the extent that I've
7	done it? I've gone through it pretty fast.
8	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, if I
9	might ask about the other witnesses.
10	Is there a date by which Mr.
11	Marshall will let us know about these other
12	witnesses, and identify them? And although we
13	wouldn't at that point ask for their
14	statements, but at least a paragraph or a
15	blurb describing what it is they intend to
16	state about Mr. Titus?
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you
18	know what I'm going to do is I'm going to
19	leave that up to you all to start that
20	process. In other words, the 9 October is the
21	cut-off date on the that's the big issue on

witnesses and discovery.

1 Why don't I give you ten days from 2 that point, since we're going to have a 3 problem with the continuing resolution anyway? I'll give you until the 16th, 4 Let's see. 5 which would be a week, Tuesday, a week from 6 the 9th, and on 16 October that you all come 7 in with proposed dates for everything else. 8 Does that give you enough time? 9 And that would be MR. SCHONMAN: 10 Marshall dates by which Mr. identifies 11 whatever expert witness he intends to bring in 12 and any other witnesses for whom he intends to 13 present testimonial evidence? 14 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. 15 However you want to work it out. 16 I mean, if you want a specific 17 date for this, this, this, and this to 18 be done, including all the way up to the 19 hearing, can you give me proposed dates on the 20 16th of October? If you can't agree on them, then I'll get your respective filings, and 21

I'll make the decision.

1	MR. SCHONMAN: Just a minute, Your
2	Honor.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
4	We're off the record while they confer.
5	(Whereupon, at 10:58 a.m., off the
6	record until 10:59 a.m.)
7	MR. SCHONMAN: In order to
8	facilitate any depositions that we would want
9	to take, it would assist the Bureau if we know
10	the universe of people who Mr. Titus intends
11	to call on his behalf.
12	We know on October 9th we will
13	know whether he's going to call an expert
14	witness. Assuming he does intend to call an
15	expert witness, it would help us to have a
16	date certain by which he identifies that
17	person and also any other persons he intends
18	to call and testify as to his character. That
19	way we can make our decision about the
20	universe of people who we need to take the
21	deposition for while we're in Seattle.
22	We're going to plan a trip to

1	Seattle at some point. We don't know whether
2	it's going to be three days or six days or
3	eight days. And the only way we would know
4	that is by knowing the universe of people who
5	we want to depose. So we would wouldn't even
6	know how much money to ask for in terms of the
7	length of our stay until we know who we're
8	going to depose.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
10	Let me ask Mr. Marshall.
11	Do you have a date in mind that
12	you could provide this information?
13	MR. MARSHALL: The identity of
14	witnesses other than an expert witness?
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Identity of
16	the expert also. The identity of the expert,
17	and then the identity of any other witness
18	that you intend to call and for what purpose.
19	MR. MARSHALL: Well, Your Honor, I
20	think until I've done the work that is going
21	to be done by October 9th, I will not be able
22	to know that.

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All that I'm
2	asking for is a date. I mean, you've got
3	between now and sometime in October to come up
4	with these names.
5	MR. MARSHALL: But let's review
6	what I'm going to do by the 9th. That might
7	help me to answer this question.
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
9	MR. MARSHALL: On the 9th, I will
10	inform you of whether we intend to call an
11	expert witness.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's
13	correct.
14	MR. MARSHALL: I will inform you
15	of the costs we foresee for that. And I'll
16	provide you a financial statement from Mr.
17	Titus that will support any claim he has that
18	it would not be feasible for him to it
19	would be unduly burdensome for him to have to
20	bring his expert witness to Washington for the
21	hearing. And I'll let you know that I intend
22	to make a motion for extraordinary relief to

1	have that testimony provided instead by a
2	video deposition.
3	Am I right so far?
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. You
5	got it. That's good. That's good.
6	MR. MARSHALL: Okay. Okay.
7	Okay. So then I'll bring the
8	motion for extraordinary relief.
9	And until that's ruled upon, I may
10	not know whether I really can use this expert
11	witness. So I'm wrestling with this in my
12	mind.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, before
14	you file your motion, you're obviously going
15	to have to give us the name of this witness,
16	what his qualifications are or her
17	qualifications, and what she intends to
18	testify. In effect, you will have to have
19	your 26(b) statement.
20	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And that
22	would be attachments or whatever to your

1 | motion.

And then the only issue on the motion would be whether or not I would be prepared or would consider -- I mean, actually maybe that would not be a good use of time because until I see the deposition, I really can't make an ultimate and final decision as to whether or not I want this witness in court or not. I really can't do that.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes.

ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, I can tell you that if your plight is as you're suggesting it might be -- your client's financial plight, I want to accommodate.

On the other hand, the Bureau has a very strong position on this, and it's well taken. So I don't want to just lightly say that I'll do it until I see it.

So, there is an element of risk here with that too. And I'm sorry that I have to say it that way, but I really do. I really have to reserve my discretion.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MR. MARSHALL: Right. So if I
2	understand you, Your Honor, you're saying that
3	until the video deposition has actually
4	occurred, you wouldn't be willing to rule that
5	the video deposition could serve as the
6	testimony at the hearing?
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's
8	correct. That's correct.
9	The only extra expense would be
10	the videotaping. I mean, the Bureau would
11	still have to go out and take the deposition.
12	You'd have to pay for the deposition for your
13	witness.
14	I don't know how much the cost
15	would be for the videotaping. I don't think
16	that would be that much more added cost.
17	You're still going to have to pay for a
18	deposition.
19	MR. MARSHALL: Yes.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And then I
21	get to have the transcript. And the video
22	comes with your motion for extraordinary

1	relief.
2	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry I
4	have to set it up that way. But that's all I
5	can do in light of the Bureau's position.
6	MR. MARSHALL: I understand, Your
7	Honor. And it's difficult for me to think
8	many steps down the line here because when I
9	discuss this with Mr. Titus and we discuss his
10	finances, your decision that you will not make
11	a final decision until after a video
12	deposition, that may kill the whole idea right
13	there. It may not be feasible for him to
14	spend that much money and then perhaps find
15	that he's invested in an expert witness whose
16	testimony he cannot use.
17	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor
18	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you
19	could turn it around. You might be able to
20	take what's in the deposition you might be
21	able to make it into some kind of an

affidavit. I mean, it would obviously affect

21

1	the weight.
2	There may be some way of getting
3	that evidence in without cross examination,
4	but its weight would be depleted quite a bit.
5	MR. MARSHALL: I see. Okay.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Unless the
7	Bureau is very impressed by what you did. I
8	don't know.
9	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, at the
10	risk of belaboring the issue about the
11	justification for using a deposition at
12	hearing
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
14	MR. SCHONMAN: 1.321 carves out
15	the very, very limited circumstances under
16	which a deposition can be used at the hearing.
17	And it includes things like death of the
18	deponent, or out of the country for some
19	reason, or upon petition in which exceptional
20	circumstances are represented.
21	This whole discussion is about
22	using a videotaped deposition at hearing. And

1	it really is quite unorthodox in Commission
2	proceedings. And I would question whether
3	this particular case should be used as the
4	test case for employing this method.
5	I've been doing this for a number
6	of years, and I don't recall using a
7	videotaped deposition at a hearing when the
8	deponent/witness is available.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I know
10	that we've used them. I don't say that "we"
11	in the sense of yourself being involved in a
12	case. But I have presided in hearings where
13	that has been done. But it's been done with
14	the consent of both parties.
15	MR. SCHONMAN: And probably to
16	contradict testimony at the hearing?
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: No. No.
18	No, it might be a peripheral issue. I've
19	received them on the merits of what they're
20	proffered for.
21	But it would be by agreement of
22	both sides. What it usually comes down to is

1	that certain parts of it come in for one
2	purpose. And then the opposing party wants
3	the whole thing in.
4	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, I think a key
5	statement that you just made is that from your
6	experience. If I understand it correctly,
7	it's been on a peripheral issue.
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well,
9	peripheral in the sense that that's true.
10	MR. SCHONMAN: My concern here is
11	that this testimony would be anything other
12	than peripheral. It would be right on point,
13	and be dispositive.
14	And under those circumstances, for
15	the reasons I've already expressed, the Bureau
16	would strongly oppose not having an expert
17	witness testify here live for cross
18	examination.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I hear
20	you. I mean, I hear you. I'm not put off at
21	all by what you say.
22	I still think that Mr. Marshall

should have the opportunity as I've outlined 1 2 it to proceed with this. And I'm not saying this was in the back of my mind well, once I 3 get that motion for relief, I'm going to deny 4 5 I'm not looking at it that way at all. It's hard for me to see that 6 7 not having an opportunity you're certainly you're going to have an opportunity 8 9 to cross examine this witness. It's just a 10 question of whether or not you're going to cross examine here in open court, or you're 11 going to do it out there in Seattle. 12 13 MR. SCHONMAN: But if I do the deposition, I'm not cross examining him on any 14 15 direct testimony because he hasn't given any. He has. JUDGE SIPPEL: 16 ADMIN. He's given the 26(b) statement. That's a 17 very, very succinct document. They can't go 18 out of the 26(b) statement if he were to come 19 in and testify live. He can't go beyond that. 20 Now he might say it in a different 21 way a little bit, but he can't go beyond that. 22

1 And his conclusion is what you're interested 2 And his conclusion is locked in his or 3 her conclusion. 4 So I'm not convinced that it's not 5 doable. The only thing I am convinced that I 6 can't make a ruling until I see what it is 7 we're dealing with. That's how I feel about 8 Mr. Titus must want this thing very this. 9 much. That's the only thing I can conclude 10 today. 11 All right. So where are we? 12 Where are we on this now? 13 MR. SCHONMAN: We're talking about 14 dates of witnesses. We're reviewing what Mr. 15 Marshall's obligations are on a procedural 16 schedule. 17 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what I 18 want to do is give you, say, until the 16th of 19 October to see if you can up with -- 9th of 20 October you're going to know what it is about. 2.1 You're going to know that much more 22 information anyway about the expert.

1	October it seems to me that counsel should
2	be able to get together by phone and say okay,
3	here's what we have to do. We have to have a
4	cut-off date for discovery. That means the
5	deposition.
6	I don't know where Mr. Marshall's
7	going to stand on the number of witnesses he's
8	going to call, but you should have a pretty
9	good idea by the 16th of October. Wouldn't
10	you? Do you have an idea in mind of who these
11	people might be?
12	MR. MARSHALL: Yes. I think I
13	would have a pretty good idea by the 15th of
14	October, Your Honor.
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: So if he
16	gives you the number of character witnesses by
17	the 16th of October, you should be able to
18	work dates, use that as a starting date to go
19	down to the hearing date with all the
20	exchanges of documents and all that kind of
21	thing.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We need

1	names and at least a brief description of the
2	possible testimony to decide whether we need
3	to take their deposition so we can plan a
4	Seattle trip. And I don't know if that's the
5	16th, the 23rd.
6	But that's really the next thing
7	we need after the identification of the
8	expert.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay.
10	I don't know why I'm hesitating. You can do
11	that by the 16th, couldn't you? I mean, I'm
12	giving you until you get back in the office on
13	the 26th. I mean, you can pursue both tracks
14	at the same time
15	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
16	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: with Mr.
17	Titus
18	You've bypassed the big one on the
19	9th. Your intention by the 16th of October,
20	the names of all your witnesses I'm not
21	saying rebuttal witnesses. But all your
22	witnesses on your case in chief including the

1	name of the expert.
2	And then you all can agree on a
3	date after that that the expert has to come in
4	with his 26(b) statement, and how you're going
5	to line things up after that.
6	I could do it, but I'm just
7	thinking that you all can do it better than I
8	can.
9	MR. MARSHALL: That sounds fine,
10	Your Honor.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And if you
12	can't do it, then I'll get right back into it.
13	I'm sorry, Mr. Marshall.
14	Counsel's conferring again.
15	How does that strike you, Mr.
16	Schonman?
17	MR. SCHONMAN: I think that would
18	work.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Good. Okay.
20	So, 16 October, and then let me
21	see. 16 October. Okay.
22	And then by 22 October, I just

1	want a brief status report to the effect that
2	everything's being worked on. You don't even
3	have to give the dates at that point. Yes.
4	Why can't you? You should be able to give me
5	the dates.
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Subject to
7	funding.
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Of course.
9	Everything's subject to funding.
10	But you should give me dates that
11	you've proposed to go out to Seattle to take
12	all these depositions. And then the
13	traditional dates after that; end of
14	discovery, exchange of cases, et cetera, et
15	cetera, et cetera.
16	And if you can't, then just let me
17	know you can't.
18	MR. SCHONMAN: That works.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: At least
20	this is getting the train on a tighter
21	schedule.
22	So you've got actually four dates

to deal with: 26 September, 9 October, 16 October, and then 22 October. And I'll get an order out on that today.

I could just say in general that it seems to me that the discovery is coming along okay. Here is one thing that there seems to be a contest about. And that is other cases similar to this one to be identified.

And the objection is that it's doing your legal research, Mr. Marshall. The Bureau doesn't want to do legal research for you, and I'm not about to require them to.

But it seems to me that what you really are interested in is cases where there has been a sitting down for a hearing, again it's an application for one of these types of licenses -- amateur license -- where there have been allegations of some kind of sexual misconduct. In other words, you're talking about the Mitnick case.

And the Mitnick case, I don't know

NEAL R. GROSS